cet No. 47361.2.1

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Damon Larson

Application No.: 10/600,994

Filed: 06/19/2003

For: HOME SHOPPING SYSTEM

Group No.: 3625

Examiner: Rosen, Nicholas D.

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment for this application as well as a Statement of Substance of 1. Interview.

STATUS

2. Applicant is a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. 3. Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for one month:

Fee:

\$60.00

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope with sufficient postage as first ssed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

John S. Parzych

(type or print name of person certifying)

^{*} Only the date of filing (' 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under '1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See '1.703(f). Consider 'Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" ('1.10) or facsimile transmission ('1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	1) (Col. 2)		(Col. 3)			SMALL ENTITY				
	CLAIMS										
	REMAINING	_	EST NO.								
	AFTER		OUSLY		SENT					ADDIT.	
	AMENDMENT	PAII) FOR	EX	TRA		<u>R</u> /	TE		FEE	
TOTAL	39	_	39	=	0	<u>x</u>	\$	25.00	=	\$	0.00
INDEP.	4		4	=	0	х	\$_	100.00	=	\$	0.00
FIRST PR	ESENTATION O	F MULT	TIPLE DE	P. CLA	IM	+	\$	0.00	=	\$	0.00
								TOTAL			
							ΑI	DIT. FEE		\$	0.00

No additional fee for claims is required.

FEE PAYMENT

5. Attached is a check in the sum of \$60.00.

A duplicate of this paper is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

6. If an additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 061910.

If an additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 061910.

Date: 8/18/05

John S. Parzych O

Registration No. 52,097

Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.

200 South Sixth Street

Suite 4000

Minneapolis, MN 55402

612-492-7000

Customer No. 022859

#3158800\1

AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG 2 6 2005 2 AUG 2 AUG

In Re Applic	ation of:	Examiner: Rosen, Nicholas D.
	Damon Larson	Group Art Unit: 3625
Serial No.:	10/600,994	Attorney Docket: 47361.2.1
Filed:	June 19, 2003	
For:	Home Shopping System) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:)
То:	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office hand delivered to the Patent and Trademark Office
		on this 874 day of WGUST, 2005 By Sparsych W

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicant's representatives would like to thank Examiner Rosen for extending the courtesy of a personal interview on July 27, 2005 to discuss this case. The following recordation of the substance of the interview is believed to be complete and proper, in accordance with MPEP 713.04. It is requested the Examiner notify the undersigned if the Examiner believes this statement contains any inaccuracies or if the Examiner believes this statement is otherwise not complete and proper.

Interview Participants: (1) Examiner Nicholas D. Rosen; and (2) Applicant's attorney, John S. Parzych.

No agreement was reached during the interview.

No exhibit or demonstration was shown during the interview.

Claims 1-39 were discussed during the interview.

The following reference was discussed: Piotrowski, 2003/0088496.

Applicant presented arguments arguments for distinctions between the present invention and the nearest prior art of record, particularly using the client application to filter data, drop scanned items into the user's virtual shopping cart, and automatically route the user to a variety of retailer e-commerce sites following the dropping of scanned items into the shopping cart(s).

Examiner replied Applicant was free to amend his claims, and present arguments for why the combination of elements should be considered non-obvious, and assured him that they would receive due consideration.

No other pertinent matters were discussed during the interview.

Dated: $\sqrt{1805}$

Respectfully submitted,

John S. Parzyel

Kegistration No. 52,097 Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.

200 Second Avenue South, Suite 4000

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) 492-7279

#3154808\1